

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background and purpose of the report	1
1.2	Components of the report	1
1.3	Study methodology	2
1.4	Supplementary documentation	2
1.5	Gaps in knowledge, Assumptions and Limitations	2
2	LANDSCAPE CHARACTER AND VISUAL AMENITY	3
3	THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4
3.1	Locality	4
3.1.1	Description of the landscape character	6
4	PROJECT SITE DESCRIPTION	9
4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE	10
4.1	Background	10
4.2	Project components	10
4.3	Structural alternatives	10
4.3.1	Conductor cables	11
4.4	Site alternatives	12
4.4.1	Substation site alternative A	12
4.4.2	Substation site alternative C	13
4.4.3	Substation site alternative F	13
4.4.4	Substation site alternative G	14
5	POLICY CONTEXT	15
5.1	Overberg district municipality spatial development framework	15
5.2	Sewillendam spatial development framework	17
6	POTENTIAL 'TRIGGERS' OR KEY ISSUES	19
6.1	Development category	20
7	VIEWSHED ANALYSIS	22
7.1	Dominant view corridors	22
7.2	Relevant topographic and physical characteristics	22
7.3	Photographic study as supplementary component	23
8	DIGITAL VIEWSHED ANALYSIS	24
8.1	Key aspects of the viewshed	28
9	VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	28
9.1	Selection of observation points	28
9.2	Assessment process	29
9.3	Summary of assessment	30
9.3.1	Assessment criteria	30
9.4	Assessment of impacts	32

9.4.1	Assessment of impact on the landscape character	32
9.4.2	Assessment of impact on tourist value of the area	34
9.4.3	Assessment of reflectivity and glare of structures	35
9.4.4	Assessment of impact of lighting	36
10	IMPACT STATEMENT	37
10.1	Recommendations and proposed mitigation measures	38
11	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME	39
12	REFERENCES	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Methodology adopted for the VIA.	2
Figure 2:	Regional context of the project site.	5
Figure 3:	General view of the landscape in the vicinity of the project site.	6
Figure 4:	Aerial perspective of the project site indicating the existing uses on site and the surrounding properties.	7
Figure 5:	View of the undulating landscape in the vicinity of the project site.	9
Figure 6:	Examples of a self-supporting tower. Example of a cross-rope suspension tower.	11
Figure 7:	Site Alternative A aerial view.	12
Figure 8:	Site Alternative C aerial view.	13
Figure 9:	Site Alternative F aerial view.	14
Figure 10:	Site Alternative G aerial view.	15
Figure 11:	Overberg District SDF.	17
Figure 12:	Swellendam spatial management concept.	18
Figure 13:	Digital Elevation Model illustrating the landscape of the area and the dominant view corridors in the region.	23
Figure 14:	Panoramic view of the existing Kluitjieskraal substation south of the project site.	24
Figure 15:	Viewshed generated from the centre of Site Alternative A.	25
Figure 16:	Viewshed generated from the centre of Site Alternative C.	26
Figure 17:	Viewshed generated from the centre of Site Alternative F.	27
Figure 18:	Viewshed generated from the centre of Site Alternative G.	27

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Details of affected farms.	9
Table 2:	Potential triggers.	20
Table 3:	Categorization of expected visual impact.	21
Table 4:	VIA methodology and process.	29
Table 5:	Summary of criteria used to assess the potential impacts of the proposed activity.	30
Table 6:	Landscape character sensitivity rating.	32
Table 7:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact on the landscape character.	33

Table 8:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact on the tourism of the area.	34
Table 9:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact of reflectivity and glare of structures.	36
Table 10:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact of lighting.	
Table 11:	Environmental Management Programme – Construction Phase	39
Table 12:	Environmental Management Programme – Operational Phase	40

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure 1:	Declaration of independence.
Annexure 2:	Selected observation point viewsheds and assessments.
Annexure 3:	List of Plans