

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background and purpose of the report	1
1.2	Components of the report	1
1.3	Study methodology	2
1.4	Supplementary documentation	2
1.5	Gaps in knowledge, Assumptions and Limitations	2
2	LANDSCAPE CHARACTER AND VISUAL AMENITY	3
3	THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4
3.1	Locality	4
3.1.1	Description of the landscape character	7
4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE	11
4.1	Project components	11
5	POLICY CONTEXT	13
5.1	Western Cape provincial spatial development framework	13
5.2	Northern Cape provincial spatial development framework	14
6	POTENTIAL 'TRIGGERS' OR KEY ISSUES	16
6.1	Development category	16
7	VIEWSHED ANALYSIS	18
7.1	Dominant view corridors	18
7.2	Relevant topographic and physical characteristics	19
7.3	Photographic study as supplementary component	20
8	DIGITAL VIEWSHED ANALYSIS	21
8.1	Key aspects of the viewshed	22
9	VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	23
9.1	Selection of observation points	23
9.2	Assessment process	23
9.3	Summary of assessment	24
9.3.1	Assessment criteria	24
9.4	Assessment of impacts	26
9.4.1	Assessment of impact on the landscape character	26
9.4.2	Assessment of impact on tourist value of the area	28
9.4.3	Assessment of reflectivity and glare of structures	30
10	IMPACT STATEMENT	31
10.1	Recommendations and proposed mitigation measures	31
11	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME	32

12 REFERENCES 34

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Methodology adopted for the VIA.	2
Figure 2:	Regional context of the project site.	5
Figure 3:	General view of the landscape in the vicinity of Komkas.	6
Figure 4:	Panoramic view of the road and environment in the vicinity of Namakwa Sands.	6
Figure 5:	View of the landscape in the vicinity of Kleinsee.	6
Figure 6:	Aerial perspective of the project site indicating the existing uses on site and the surrounding properties.	7
Figure 7:	View of the dominant vegetation types along the route of the proposed Juno-Gromis powerline.	9
Figure 8:	Panoramic view of the viticulture landscape in the vicinity of Vredendal.	10
Figure 9:	View of the rural settlement of Kotzesrus in the Northern Cape.	10
Figure 10:	Examples of the 517E and 517F towers to be erected as part of the Juno-Gromis powerline. Example of the 529A cross-rope suspension tower.	12
Figure 11:	PSDF: West Coast land use designation.	14
Figure 12:	Composite Spatial Plan for the Northern Cape Province.	15
Figure 13:	Digital Elevation Model illustrating the landscape of the area and the dominant view corridors in the region.	20
Figure 14:	Panoramic view from the R363 towards the proposed powerline route.	20
	Viewshed generated from the centre of the project site.	22

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Tower details.	11
Table 2:	Potential triggers.	16
Table 3:	Categorization of expected visual impact.	18
Table 4:	VIA methodology and process.	23
Table 5:	Summary of criteria used to assess the potential impacts of the proposed activity.	25
Table 6:	Landscape character sensitivity rating.	27
Table 7:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact on the landscape character.	27
Table 8:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact on the tourism of the area.	29
Table 9:	Impact table summarising the significance of visual impact of reflectivity and glare of structures.	30
Table 10:	Environmental Management Programme – Construction Phase	32
Table 11:	Environmental Management Programme – Operational Phase	33

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure 1:	Declaration of independence.
Annexure 2:	Selected observation point viewsheds and assessments.
Annexure 3:	List of Plans

